United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit	_
	No. 15-3113	_
	S'cie Ward	
	Plaintiff - Appellar	nt
	v.	
Scott Grummer, individed Rock Community Development Corporate John Shiver, individual LLC; First Capital Res	t Limited Partnership, An Arkansa idually and as Executive Director elopment Corporation; Downtown tion; Downtown Little Rock Rede ally and as Executive Director of F sidential, LLC; Arkansas Developmental, City of Little Rock, Arkansas; Rice; Rose Mary Epperson	of the Downtown Little Little Rock Community evelopment Corporation; First Capital Residential, ment Finance Authority;
	Defendants - Appell	ees
		

Appeal from United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas - Little Rock

Submitted: July 7, 2016 Filed: July 14, 2016 [Unpublished]

Before SMITH, ARNOLD, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Appellate Case: 15-3113 Page: 1 Date Filed: 07/14/2016 Entry ID: 4425865

S'cie Ward appeals following the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment in her action raising claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1982 and other federal statutes, as well as under state law. As to the district court's determination that some claims against certain parties were barred because Ms. Ward had not raised them as compulsory counterclaims in a prior unlawful-detainer action against her in state court, we agree with the district court's well-reasoned analysis of those claims.² See Brown v. Louisiana-Pacific Corp., 820 F.3d 338, 344 (8th Cir. 2016) (reviewing de novo grant of summary judgment); see also Teddler v. Am. Railcar Indus., Inc., 739 F.3d 1104, 1111 (8th Cir. 2014) (remedy for ineffective assistance of counsel in civil case is suit against attorney for malpractice). The judgment of the district court is affirmed. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable James M. Moody, Jr., United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

²The grant of summary judgment on these claims is the only apparent challenge Ms. Ward raises on appeal, and thus her other claims are waived. <u>See Hess v. Ables</u>, 714 F.3d 1048, 1051 n.2 (8th Cir. 2013) (claim is waived where there is no briefing on why dismissal was improper).